A DISTRIBUTIONAL THEORY OF HOUSEHOLD SENTIMENT

Marco Bellifemine LSE Adrien Couturier LSE Seyed Hosseini LSE

Why do households remain financially constrained ?

Why do households remain financially constrained ?

► Hand-to-Mouth overly persistent empirically (Aguiar et al., Forthcoming)

Why do households remain financially constrained ?

- ► Hand-to-Mouth overly persistent empirically (Aguiar et al., Forthcoming)
- Can beliefs play a role?

Why do households remain financially constrained ?

- ► Hand-to-Mouth overly persistent empirically (Aguiar et al., Forthcoming)
- Can beliefs play a role?
- Recent push to revisit macro models using diagnostic expectations (Bordalo et al., 2018)

Why do households remain financially constrained ?

- ► Hand-to-Mouth overly persistent empirically (Aguiar et al., Forthcoming)
- Can beliefs play a role?

Recent push to revisit macro models using diagnostic expectations (Bordalo et al., 2018)

- \diamond Bianchi et al. (2024) : RBC + DE \implies boom bust cycles
- ◊ L'Huillier et al. (2023) : NK + DE ⇒ keynesian supply shocks
- ◊ Maxted (2023) : He-Krishnamurthy + DE ⇒ match risk premia

Why do households remain financially constrained ?

- ► Hand-to-Mouth overly persistent empirically (Aguiar et al., Forthcoming)
- Can beliefs play a role?

Recent push to revisit macro models using diagnostic expectations (Bordalo et al., 2018)

- ♦ Bianchi et al. (2024) : RBC + DE \implies boom bust cycles
- ◊ L'Huillier et al. (2023) : NK + DE ⇒ keynesian supply shocks
- ◊ Maxted (2023) : He-Krishnamurthy + DE ⇒ match risk premia

This paper: Aiyagari meets Diagnostic Expectations



III

I Use survey data on households' expectations to document evidence of deviation from RE

Π

I Use survey data on households' expectations to document evidence of deviation from RE

II Embed diagnostic expectations in a heterogeneous household model

III

I Use survey data on households' expectations to document evidence of deviation from RE

II Embed diagnostic expectations in a heterogeneous household model

III Derive implications for wealth dynamics

WHAT WE FIND

- I Use survey data on households' expectations to document evidence of deviation from RE
 - Income and past income change predict FE on idiosyncratic income
- II Embed diagnostic expectations in a heterogeneous household model

III Derive implications for wealth dynamics

WHAT WE FIND

- I Use survey data on households' expectations to document evidence of deviation from RE
 - Income and past income change predict FE on idiosyncratic income
- II Embed diagnostic expectations in a heterogeneous household model
 - Develop new tool to handle deviations from RE in HA models
 - \diamond Sentiment distorts Euler Equation \longrightarrow state/time dependent discount rate
 - \diamond Sentiment jumps after income shocks \longrightarrow consumption overreacts to income shocks
- III Derive implications for wealth dynamics

WHAT WE FIND

- I Use survey data on households' expectations to document evidence of deviation from RE
 - Income and past income change predict FE on idiosyncratic income
- II Embed diagnostic expectations in a heterogeneous household model
 - Develop new tool to handle deviations from RE in HA models
 - \diamond Sentiment distorts Euler Equation \longrightarrow state/time dependent discount rate
 - \diamond Sentiment jumps after income shocks \longrightarrow consumption overreacts to income shocks
- III Derive implications for wealth dynamics
 - \diamond Positive income shock \longrightarrow overoptimism \longrightarrow under-saving \longrightarrow poverty trap
 - Large and distributed welfare cost

PLAN FOR TODAY

I Empirical motivation

II Model and Methodology

III Theoretical Results

▶ SHIW \longrightarrow idiosyncratic forecast error: $FE^i = y^i_{t+2} / \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^i_t(y^i_{t+2}) - 1$

- ▶ SHIW \longrightarrow idiosyncratic forecast error: $FE^i = y^i_{t+2} / \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^i_t (y^i_{t+2}) 1$
 - I Sentiment correlates with idiosyncratic income



- ▶ SHIW \longrightarrow idiosyncratic forecast error: $FE^i = y^i_{t+2} / \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^i_t (y^i_{t+2}) 1$
 - I Sentiment correlates with idiosyncratic income



- ▶ SHIW \rightarrow idiosyncratic forecast error: $FE^i = y^i_{t+2} / \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^i_t (y^i_{t+2}) 1$
 - I Sentiment correlates with idiosyncratic income
 - II Sentiment correlates with idiosyncratic income growth $y_t/y_{t-2} 1$





Model & Methodology

Expectations biased by recent income shocks

$$\underbrace{dy_t}_{\text{log-inc. change}} = \underbrace{-\mu y_t dt}_{\text{drift}} + \underbrace{dN_t}_{\text{jump shocks}} \quad v.s. \quad \widetilde{dy_t} = \left(-\mu y_t + \mathcal{S}_t\right) dt + dN_t$$

Sentiment $S_t \equiv \theta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\kappa(t-s)} dN_s \quad \longleftarrow$ discounted sum of shocks

Expectations biased by recent income shocks



Expectations biased by recent income shocks

$$\underbrace{dy_t}_{\text{log-inc. change}} = \underbrace{-\mu y_t dt}_{\text{drift}} + \underbrace{dN_t}_{\text{jump shocks}} \quad v.s. \quad \widetilde{dy_t} = \left(-\mu y_t + S_t\right) dt + dN_t$$

Sentiment $S_t \equiv \theta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\kappa(t-s)} dN_s \quad \longleftarrow$ discounted sum of shocks

Embed within an incomplete market environment

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t\geq 0}} \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt, \quad s.t. \quad \dot{a_t} = ra_t + e^{y_t} - c_t, \quad a \geq \underline{a}$$

Expectations biased by recent income shocks

$$\underbrace{dy_t}_{\text{log-inc. change}} = \underbrace{-\mu y_t dt}_{\text{drift}} + \underbrace{dN_t}_{\text{jump shocks}} \quad v.s. \quad \widetilde{dy_t} = \left(-\mu y_t + S_t\right) dt + dN_t$$

Sentiment $S_t \equiv \theta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\kappa(t-s)} dN_s \quad \longleftarrow$ discounted sum of shocks

Embed within an incomplete market environment

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t\geq 0}} \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt, \quad s.t. \quad \dot{a_t} = ra_t + e^{y_t} - c_t, \quad a \geq \underline{a}$$

▶ Not trivial: household's perceived evolution of states \neq true evolution of states

METHODOLOGICAL CONTRIBUTION: RATIONALITY WEDGE

▶ Three states x = (a, y, S): wealth *a*, log-productivity *y* and sentiment S:

$$V(a_0, y_0, \mathcal{S}_0) = \max_{\{c_t\}_{t \ge 0}} \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt, \quad s.t. \quad \dot{a_t} = ra_t + e^{y_t} - c_t, \quad a \ge \underline{a}_t$$

METHODOLOGICAL CONTRIBUTION: RATIONALITY WEDGE

▶ Three states x = (a, y, S): wealth *a*, log-productivity *y* and sentiment S:

$$V(a_0, y_0, \mathcal{S}_0) = \max_{\{c_t\}_{t \ge 0}} \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt, \quad s.t. \quad \dot{a}_t = ra_t + e^{y_t} - c_t, \quad a \ge \underline{a}_t$$

▶ Joint law of motion for y and S captured by B:

$$dy_t = -\mu y_t dt + dN_t, \quad d\mathcal{S}_t = -\kappa \mathcal{S}_t dt + \theta dN_t \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}_t \frac{dV(y,\mathcal{S})}{dt} \equiv \mathcal{B}V(y,\mathcal{S})$$

METHODOLOGICAL CONTRIBUTION: RATIONALITY WEDGE

▶ Three states x = (a, y, S): wealth *a*, log-productivity *y* and sentiment S:

$$V(a_0, y_0, \mathcal{S}_0) = \max_{\{c_t\}_{t \ge 0}} \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt, \quad s.t. \quad \dot{a_t} = ra_t + e^{y_t} - c_t, \quad a \ge \underline{a}_t$$

▶ Joint law of motion for y and S captured by B:

$$dy_t = -\mu y_t dt + dN_t, \quad d\mathcal{S}_t = -\kappa \mathcal{S}_t dt + \theta dN_t \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}_t \frac{dV(y, \mathcal{S})}{dt} \equiv \mathcal{B}V(y, \mathcal{S})$$

Mean field game with rationality wedge

$$\rho V(x) = \max_{c} u(c) + s(x, c)\partial_{a}V(x) + \mathcal{B}V(x) + \underbrace{\mathcal{S}\partial_{y}}_{\Psi}V(x)$$
$$0 = -\partial_{a}(s(x)g(x)) + \mathcal{B}^{*}g(x)$$



$$\mathbb{E}_t \quad \frac{du'(c_t)/dt}{u'(c_t)} = \left[\rho + \mathcal{S}_t \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)\right] - r, \qquad \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \textit{inc. elasticity of cons. } \frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial y}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t \quad \frac{du'(c_t)/dt}{u'(c_t)} = \left[\rho + \mathcal{S}_t \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)\right] - r, \qquad \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \textit{inc. elasticity of cons. } \frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial y}$$

- ▶ Under rational expectations (S = 0), standard Euler equation
- Sentiment distortions depend on distance to borrowing limit





Marginal propensity to save (MPS):

 $\diamond\,$ Average share of an income shock saved by a household over a period $\tau\,$

Marginal propensity to save (MPS):

 $\diamond~$ Average share of an income shock saved by a household over a period $\tau~$



Marginal propensity to save (MPS):

 $\diamond~$ Average share of an income shock saved by a household over a period $\tau~$



Marginal propensity to save (MPS):

 $\diamond~$ Average share of an income shock saved by a household over a period $\tau~$

- Stickiness of the HtM:
 - Average probability of being HtM in period h conditional on being HtM in period 0



DISTRIBUTED WELFARE COST

▶ Welfare cost: consumption tax $\tau(a, y)$ equating expected welfare:

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \log\left[(1 - \tau(a_{0}, y_{0})) c^{RE}(a_{t}, y_{t}) \right] dt = \mathbb{E}_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \log\left[c^{DE}(a_{t}, y_{t}, S_{t}) \right] dt \qquad \begin{vmatrix} a_{0} = a \\ y_{0} = y \\ S_{0} = 0 \end{vmatrix}$$



REFERENCES I

- AGUIAR, M., M. BILS, AND C. BOAR (Forthcoming): "Who Are the Hand-to-Mouth?" *The Review of Economic Studies*.
- BIANCHI, F., C. ILUT, AND H. SAIJO (2024): "Diagnostic business cycles," *Review of Economic Studies*, 91, 129–162.
- BORDALO, P., N. GENNAIOLI, AND A. SHLEIFER (2018): "Diagnostic Expectations and Credit Cycles," *The Journal of Finance*, 73, 199–227.
- L'HUILLIER, J.-P., S. R. SINGH, AND D. YOO (2023): "Incorporating Diagnostic Expectations into the New Keynesian Framework," Working Paper Series 2023-19, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.
- MAXTED, P. (2023): "Present Bias Unconstrained: Consumption, Welfare, and the Present-Bias Dilemma ," Tech. rep.